



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

One must still have implicit faith that the outcome will be on the side of what we believe to be true intellectual and moral progress and human freedom; but the cost will be beyond all human comprehension, and the cause of all this chastening must be some serious moral cancer that the world has hitherto failed to understand and to eradicate.

FRANCIS G. TRACY.

CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO.

WE ARE BRAZEN, THOUGH BRILLIANT

SIR,—The editorial, "The Revolt of Bryan," is unworthy of a place in a magazine as great as is *THE NORTH AMERICAN REVIEW*. You describe one who has been before the public for more than twenty years, and in whose integrity more than six million people have confidence, as a liar, a hypocrite, and a malignant person. Here is the sentence to which I refer, taken from your editorial: "When he assigned a false reason for his performance, he declared his hypocrisy and evidenced his malevolence." And once more, Mr. Editor: "He can never again be tolerated by American citizens who are not wholly devoid either of intelligence or of patriotism." So Mr. Editor, you make yourself bold, brazen, or impudent, which is it?—and find no patriotism and intelligence except in yourself and in those who think as you do. Well, I must say that you have outdone "Our Colonel" completely. Your egotism and your knowledge of the hidden motives of others is truly wonderful! It is a pity that you put so much brilliant humor in the midst of so many unfair statements. I enjoyed the humor, but the maligning of a really great man is unlike you. It was a relief to read the good things you had to say about the President. Nobody will ever be able to say too many good things about our President. Sorry that you had to intimate that Wilson was not sincere in his praise of Bryan. Mr. Bryan may have "muddled" some of his statements, as you say, but if your editorial is not a muddled affair, I would hate to try to read one that *was* muddled.

JESSE F. BENTON.

McDOWELL, W. Va.

THE PRESIDENT'S LITTLE JOKE

SIR,—I have just read what you have had to say about the Honorable Josephus Daniels. I was not a little surprised that one of your acumen should so utterly fail to grasp the true situation. Mr. Daniels may be "temperamentally and mentally unfitted" to perform the part you would assign to him, and yet be admirably fitted for the part for which the President has cast him. If you are right as to the part he is expected to play in the national drama, then the President and not Mr. Daniels should come in for your criticism, for I do not think the President or anyone else would have the temerity to claim that he is fitted to perform the duties of the office as you seem to see them. I, however, take it that Mr. Daniels is conducting the affairs of the office just as the President intended he should.

It seems to me it would have been more commendable in you if you had tried to find out the President's viewpoint before starting in to lambast his Secretary. I was at first considerably puzzled at Mr. Daniels' appointment, but as time went on and Mr. Daniels went on and I had time to analyze the